



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/381,598	09/20/99	MIHARA	^{KM} M 350292000800

MORRISON & FOERSTER
2000 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20006-1888

HM12/1228

EXAMINER

MURPHY, J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1646

DATE MAILED:

12/28/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/381,598

Applicant(s)

MIHARA, MASAHIKO

Examiner

Joseph F Murphy

Art Unit

1646

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 14-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 14-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:
1. ☐ received.
2. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number) _____
3. ☐ received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on 10/10/2000 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09381598 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claims 1-13 were cancelled, and new claims 14-23 were added in Paper No. 8, 10/10/2000.

Claims 14-23 are under consideration.

Response to Arguments and Amendment

The rejection of the pending claims under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, as not being enabled for a "preventive" agent, has been obviated by Applicant's amendment, and is thus withdrawn.

The rejection of the pending claims for Statutory Double Patenting under 35 USC § 101, has been obviated by Applicant's amendment, and is thus withdrawn.

The rejection of the pending claims for Nonstatutory Double Patenting (obviousness-type) under 35 USC § 101, has been obviated by Applicant's amendment, and is thus withdrawn.

The rejection under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as applied to the pending claims has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 first paragraph

New claims 14-23 are rejected under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, for reasons of record applied to claim 11 in Paper No. 4, 4/10/2000, because the specification, while being enabling for specific anti-IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibodies (PM-1 and MR16-1) as therapeutic agents for diseases involving IL-6, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of treating multiple sclerosis. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. As set forth in the previous Office action (pages 5-6), multiple sclerosis has no known cure or treatment. Applicant has not provided any examples in the specification regarding an agent that treats multiple sclerosis, therefore the method as claimed are not enabled.

Claims 14-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The deposit of the biological material is considered necessary for the enablement of the current invention (see MPEP Chapter 2400 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.801-1.809). Elements required for practicing the claimed invention, i.e. the PM-1 and MR16-1 monoclonal antibodies, must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. The specification is not fully compliant with all of the provisions for maintenance and availability of the deposited material. If a deposit is made under the terms of the Budapest

Art Unit: 1646

Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the Purposes of Patent Procedure (e.g. see 961 OG 21, 1977), and Applicants, their assignee or their agent needs to provide a declaration containing the following: i) a statement all restrictions on the availability to the public of the deposited material so deposited will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent. ii) A statement that the material has been deposited under conditions that assure that access to the material will be available during the pendency of the patent application to one determined by the Commissioner to be entitled thereto under 37 C.F.R. 1.14 and 35 U.S.C. § 122. iii) A statement that the deposited material will be maintained with all of the care necessary to keep it viable and uncontaminated for a period of at least five years after the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited microorganism, and in any case, for a period of at least thirty years after the date of deposit or for the enforceable life of the patent, whichever period is longer. iv) A statement by declarant that all statements are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the instant patent application or any patent issuing thereon.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.


Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Murphy whose telephone number is 703-305-7245. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 703-308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.



Joseph F. Murphy, Ph. D.
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1646
December 21, 2000



PREMA MERTZ
PRIMARY EXAMINER